IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.13606 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: August 29, 2006

Sukhwinder Singh

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Improvement Trust, Batala and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri B.S.Guliani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of Court, Shri Sukhdip Singh Brar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of directions to respondent No.1 to comply with the orders dated January 6, 2006 passed by the State Government under Section 72-E (2) Punjab Town Improvement Act 1922 and refund a sum of Rs.1,42,500/- to the petitioner.

From the perusal of the record, we find that a representation (Annexure P.8) dated June 20,2006 has been filed by the petitioner, which remains unresponded so far.

In this view of the matter, without going into the merits of the claim made by the petitioner, we dispose of the present petition with a direction to the Improvement Trust, Batala, respondent No.1 to take a final decision on the aforesaid representation (Annexure P.8) within a period of three months from the date a certified copy of this order is received, by passing a detailed and speaking order.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

August 29,2006 **(**H.S. Bhalla)
KD Judge